EducA

International Catholic Journal of Education

Education between meritocracy, justice and care



Designation: EducA, International Catholic Journal of Education

Property: Association for Catholic Institutes for the Study of Education –ACISE (FIUC member) | 21, Rue d'Assas – 75270 PARIS, France (FIUC and ACISE) | (Postal address – Rua Pinto de Aguiar, 345 – 4400-252 Vila Nova de Gaia – PT)

Regularity: Annual

Director: Joaquim Azevedo, Catholic University of Portugal

Editor: Cristina Palmeirão, Catholic University of Portugal

Advisory Council: Ana Maria Correira (University of Saint Joseph – Macau-China); Angeles Blanco Blanco (España); Belén Urosa Sanz (Pontificia Universidad de Comillas – España); Britt-Mari Barth (Institut Catholique de Paris – France); Eleanora Badilla (Universidad de Costa Rica); Ewa Domagala-Zysk (Katolicki Universytet Lubelski – Poland); Isabel Baptista (Universidade Católica Portuguesa – Portugal); Javier M. Valle (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid – España); Jorge Baeza Correa (Universidad Católica Silva Henriquez – Chile); José Manuel Vázquez Romero (Pontificia Universidad de Comillas – España); José Pedro Amorim (Universidade do Porto – Portugal); Juan Carlos Torre (Pontificia Universidad de Comillas – España); Karine Nasr Demerjian (Université Saint-Esprit de Kaslik- Liban); Leonard Franchi (University of Glasgow- UK); Luciano Caimi (Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore – Italia); Pedro Alvarez (Pontificia Universidad de Comillas – España); Ségolène Le Mouillour (Université Catholique de l'Ouest – France); Tomas Jablonsky (Katolicka Universita V Ruzomberku – Slovakia)

Scientific Committee: Anne Therese Falkenstine (Providence University – Taiwan); Bart Mcgettrick (University of Glasgow – Scotland); Brice Bini (Catholic University of West Africa – Côte d'Ivoire); Diego Chacón (Universidad Católica de Costa Rica – Costa Rica); François Moog (Institut Catholique de Paris - France); Georges Hobeika (Université Saint-Espirit de Kaslik – Liban); Giuseppe Tognon (LUMSA Università – Italia); Guiseppina del Core (Università Auxilium – Roma – Itália); José Ignacio Prats Mora (Universidad Católica de Valencia – España); Laurent Téssier (Institute Catholique de Paris – France); Luciano Pazzaglia (Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore – Milano -Itália); Marian Nowak (Katolicki Universytet Lubelski – Poland); Martins Vilanculos (Universidade Católica de Moçambique – Moçambique); Maryse Tannous Jomaa (Saint-Joseph University – Liban); Michel Söetard (Université Catholique de l'Ouest – France)

Beginning: April 2015 (1st number)

Main theme of the first number: The distances in education (Coordinator Juan Carlos Torre Puente).

Main theme of the second number: Open to others (Coordinator Bart McGettrick).

Main theme of the third number: Justice and mercy in Education (Coordinator Giuseppe Tognon).

Main theme of the fourth number: Reinventing the school: expectations and experiences (Coordinator Joaquim Azevedo)

Main theme of the fifth number: Education: international trends and challenges (Coordinator Joaquim Azevedo)

Main theme of the sixth number: Integral education on digital era (Coordinator Joaquim Azevedo)

Main theme of the seventh number: "Fratelli tutti" and Pedagogy (Coordinators Giuseppe Tognom & Joaquim Azevedo)

Main theme of the eighth number: Education between meritocracy, justice and care (Coordinators Joaquim Azevedo & José

Matias Alves)

Furtherance by: Fundação Manuel Leão . Rua Pinto de Aguiar, 345-4400-252 Vila Nova de Gaia PT

INTRODUCTION

Taking up the concept of meritocracy advanced by Michael Young in 1958, M. Sandel (*The Tyranny of Merit*, 2020) states that graduates and highly qualified professionals in the USA today attempt to take such advantage of education for their children that meritocracy has been converted into hereditary aristocracy. More than an egalitarian ideal and a remedy for inequalities, based on the effort, talent and dedication of each student, the meritocratic ideology ends up justifying and legitimate those inequalities, its essence being restricted mobility and not equality.

Very present in the school model, the appealing idea of merit, which is supposedly accessible to all those who make an effort and work, tends to hide the existing social and cultural inequality among students, taking them all as a standard or a norm (middle class, autochthonous, good academic and cultural family capital, ...) and considering any deviation from this norm as a deficit, either on the part of the family or on the part of the student. This social construction of concepts of normality and family deficit leads to a refusal to look at each child and each student per se, with attention and care, transforming poverty and inequality into lack of family support and lack of commitment to studies.

The subordination of schools to mandates of standardisation, performativity, competitiveness, selectivity and individualism serves this ideology, reinforces and crystallises social inequalities and contributes to a serious anthropological and axiological reductionism of school education, moving it away from the capacities and emancipation of each and every child and from the ideals of democracy and social justice, thereby reinforcing the "tyranny of merit".

Besides school justice understood as the redistribution of educational goods, several perspectives have stressed the relevance of justice as recognition, in a framework of cultural respect for personal identities, agency and promotion of civic and political participation.

The educational paradigm of care, already widely worked on by Noddings, for example, has been proposed as a more ecological and alternative educational model, based on attention, equity, respect and promotion of each child and student, not in an individualistic perspective, but in a framework of co-responsibility and social solidarity and construction of relationships that "humanize the human". Thinking about education between meritocracy, fairness and care has emerged as a timely and pertinent field of analysis and as a challenge to the presentation of studies, mainly theoretical and/or empirical.

Joaquim Azevedo & José Matias Alves